

TORITGFODA. toriteegef o day. the only reality is the gyroscopic forces of dynamic aether.

ETAIPN. eetiepin. even the aether is pure nothingness.

TORITGFS. [toriteegefs].

the only reality is the gyroscopic forces,

the centrifugal forces which pinheads say don't exist. the deeper centripetal forces must ultimately be lower pressure in the centers of vortices from bernoulli's principle. nature hates consonants and so do i. you must admit that magnets and gyroscopes are the coolest toys. but avoid merry-go-rounds or reading my papers.



when i was young and in school i liked math because the truth was obvious in math as opposed to history or social studies. i could use my mind easily to know the truth value of a math statement. each new or more complex statement could be proved by assembling simple provable statements together. so i got top grades in math, good grades in science and bottom grades in everything else.

now the situation is somewhat reversed as i see math used to lie and deceive all the time. this is usually done in conjunction with language such as the claim that a fourth euclidean dimension is possible. it is not. it is categorically impossible. absolutely, categorically impossible. they talk about tearing a hole in space as if it is physically possible. it is not even possible in the imagination.

there just isn't room for another 90 degree angle from x, y and z. a dimension is one line of a possible three which intersect if the rule is they must be 90 degrees from each other. and being a line it is easy to see how a length or size can be confused with a dimension.

when they talk of another dimension they are really talking about something being able to exist at another scale of size as in honey i shrunk the kids. or as in how mass can be mostly in the tiny nucleus of an atom.

so the extra dimension is a SOS (scale of size) not an impossible fourth euclidean line.

pronounce it [sahs], sos. don't forget, [sahs].

so physicists are always throwing up different SOSes so they won't throw up while inducing us to puke from their bad theories which are just a sauce of SOSes. [sahses]. and they lay it on thick hoping not to become buddhists too soon or they'll lose their grants.

the bernoulli principle in fluid dynamics, that relative velocity of a fluid to itself lowers fluid pressure allowing more fluid to be compressed in smaller spaces if it is spinning in a vortex does just that. particles are vortices. say it three times and click your heels once. it seems counter intuitive of course that you can compress something and get less pressure instead of more. but it actually happens because of the nature of moving molecules and atoms as being the cause of pressure. but the structure of atoms puts a limit on how far down this can go. can atoms get smaller? i don't think so. but they can transform into lower viscosity stuff apparently.

if someone told you that a basket ball was an illusion and that nearly all of the material of a basket ball was the size of a grain of sand at its center and the outside of the bouncing ball was nearly nothing you would laugh them off the court as nuts and sink more hoops than them. but that is exactly our scientific concept of atoms. reality seems to be infinitely scalable in size without limit and this is what they mean by other dimensions, other scales of size, SOSes, not an impossible extra right angle in the geometry of space.

if you have 30 degrees between each axis of a graph you can have more intersecting lines. but if the rule is right angles, you can have exactly three and no more. you can't add a fourth line if the rule is right angles. to believe you can is screwing with your own mind or letting someone else screw with it.

so talking dimensions in physics is really scales of size, SOSes, in normal 3D space. but spaces are just areas of nothing linguistically. nature does seem infinitely scalable and that also screws with our concept of reality. for bernoulli's principle to take reality down in scale infinitely would require a spinning fluid of infinitely small atomicles or a resizing of atomicles at each level. at some point you have to just call it nothing or thought. metaphysics seems to always but in.

whirlpools in water can only get down to so small limited by the size of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules. superfluid helium whirlpools get much tinier but still limited by size of protons and neutrons. take it down to quarks and then down to planck size and imagine it continuing and you're on your way to nothing or thought. how far can it go? it always turns into metaphysics.

it may be irrational but the only rational concept is that ultimately everything is spinning nothing and each spinning is a vorticle with apparent mass from gyroscopic stability and acts like a something for each next scale up in size.

it's like the eye of the hurricane is the powerful part of the storm even though by definition the eye is less real than the high pressure air around it in the sense that it is low pressure and therefore less air. but it only seems like less air but is more air but less pressure. my head hurts.

philosophically the only place to go is positing a panpsychic nothingness experiencing what it is like to be nothingness and the experience is disturbances of itself which is motion inducing low pressure eyes which it falls into inducing vorticles of nothing but the vorticles add a basic graininess for a fluid which will form larger vorticles to spin in that new virtual fluid of vorticles. so viscosity increases at each level up. so nothingness spins up the scales of size with larger vorticles all the way up. everything is virtually empty vibrating vorticles nesting into structure. the universe is a great thought. the only reality is the gyroscopic forces of all the vorticles manifesting mass but which ultimately is all just nothingness spinning at the deepest smallest scale which can never be reached because another nothing can always be spun at the bottom (inside) because it is nothing anyway. crazy yet? sorry it is my natural state. got milk?

maybe this is why a twister takes dorothy to the land of Oz. we're already there. let's just stay in denial and call it kansas. click your heels three times and call it a quark. maybe the bernoulli principle is ultimately transcendental? now go back and read this paper only subvocalizing the vowels. say hi to dorothy wherever she is. and ask her if she is a buddhist yet. breathe.

in this way of thinking the transfer of energy to another field in quantum field theory is actually a layer of dynaether virtual viscosity from its vorticles as units of viscosity resolving its information to a courser or finer layer of virtual viscosity. all superfluid viscosities are based on the smallest vorticles of its layer but all are ultimately derived from panpsychic nothingness eddys of true metaphysical absolute zero viscosity. everything is infinitely scalable and reality is a persistent illusion. but the illusion is really being experienced. only experience is real. nevertheless we seek to understand it but always arrive at the same metaphysical place unless we endlessly entertain ourselves in the trappings of our ideas without noticing paradoxes. paradoxes only go away with the acceptance of the essential emptiness of all reality but not in a depressing way but a celebration of the simplicity of basic principles and how they generate everything out of panpsychic nothingness with only bernoulli's principle and the understanding that:

TORITGFODA. toritegef o day. the only reality is the gyroscopic forces of dynamic aether.

ETAIPN. eetiepin. even the aether is pure nothingness.

or

all atoms of matter are **Nested Vibrating Vorticles of Dynamic Aether. NEVIVODA.**